UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,024	11/12/2003	Wilton W. Webster JR.	51216/AW/W112	6209
	7590 09/10/200 RKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068			CAZAN, LIVIUS RADU	
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/706,024	WEBSTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. THE REPLY FILED <u>03 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonn	nent of this
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonn	
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following tiperiods:	Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED W MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Futureigns of time may be obtained under 37 CFB 1.136(c). The date on which the notition under 37 CFB 1.136(c) and the appropriate outs.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exte have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office actions set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if the major reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension fee on; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the	ne date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	е
(b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the iss appeal; and/or	sues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL	324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can non-allowable claim(s). 	nceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	ation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be elecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to p showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance be	ecause:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:	
/A. Dexter Tugbang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The specification does not appear to provide support for the limitation "heating block or rod positioned inside the shaft". The passage cited by Applicant (line 30 on page 5 to line 5 on page 6) only refers to a block or rod having "a cradle 20 to receive the portion of the tip section", not to one positioned inside the shaft. As such, this limitation constitutes new matter. Moreover, even if the newly added limitation were not new matter, further search and consideration is required, since the proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims.